

ROLES OF CIVIL SOCIETY ORGANIZATIONS IN DEMOCRATIC GOVERNANCE IN NIGERIA

*Alhassan Yakubu Adeku

**Fortune Ojochetule Yusufu

***Lawal Ozomata James

****Adejoh Danjuma

*****Usman Shaibu Abdulazeez

Abstract

This study examines the roles of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) in strengthening democratic governance in Nigeria, addressing the problem of concentrated power, limited stakeholder involvement, and pervasive corruption that hinder effective governance. The objectives are to assess how CSOs promote transparency, accountability, and citizen engagement; identify internal and external challenges constraining their effectiveness; and explore strategies for enhancing their capacity, legitimacy, and impact. Adopting a content analysis methodology using secondary data sources from recent studies, the findings indicate that CSOs serve as crucial intermediaries between citizens and the state, facilitating advocacy, policy influence, service delivery, and social change, while promoting participatory democracy and monitoring the implementation of global frameworks such as the Sustainable Development Goals. However, their effectiveness is undermined by weak institutional capacity, restrictive legal frameworks, donor dependence, political interference, and internal governance issues. The study recommends strengthening legal protections and institutional support, enhancing CSOs' capacity and financial sustainability, fostering constructive state-civil society collaboration, and promoting grassroots citizen participation to reinforce democratic practices. By addressing these challenges, CSOs can consolidate democracy, enhance governance reforms, and ensure that citizen voices are effectively represented in national development.

Keywords: Civil Society Organisations (CSOs), democratic governance, accountability, citizen participation.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Civil Society Organisations (CSOs) exist within a vital pocket of stakeholder interaction with government. Concentrated power and minimal involvement of key stakeholders continue to hinder effective governance in numerous developing nations, often resulting in the domination of powerful

*Department of Public Administration, Kogi State Polytechnic, Lokoja

Email: alhassanyak32@gmail.com

**Department of Public Administration, Kogi State Polytechnic, Lokoja

Email: johnmark124224@gmail.com

***Department of Public Administration, Kogi State Polytechnic, Lokoja

Email: profjames.lawal@gmail.com

****Department of Business Administration, Kogi State Polytechnic, Lokoja

Email: adejohdanjuma306@gmail.com

*****Department of Business Administration, Kogi State Polytechnic, Lokoja

Email: usmanitopa@gmail.com

interest groups and widespread corruption within government systems. These challenges have created an essential space for civil society organizations (CSOs) to engage in national development, particularly by promoting democratic principles, good governance, and enhanced transparency and accountability. The concept of participatory democracy, championed from the philosophies of Aristotle and John Locke to the observations of Tocqueville and Lincoln, has long underscored the importance of citizen involvement in governance.

CSOs, as voluntary, non-state associations, have long existed across Nigeria with the primary aim of supporting development processes. Their presence bridges the gap between citizens and the state, facilitating public engagement and collective action. Where CSOs are vibrant, objective, and purposeful, their contributions to governance are widely recognised. However, civil society can serve either as part of the solution or part of the problem: the nature of governance often reflects societal engagement, and CSOs' stance on issues such as corruption can influence both government behaviour and private sector practices. Conversely, weak or unresponsive governance can prompt civil society to organise and defend public interests (Minko, 2023). Fundamentally, the role of civil society is to assert and protect its core values rather than leave this responsibility solely to those in power. Through advocacy, lobbying, and grassroots mobilisation, civil society plays a pivotal role in ensuring government accountability, enhancing transparency, and safeguarding human rights.

In Nigeria, civil society organizations (CSOs) are widely recognised as crucial actors in promoting democratic governance, accountability, and citizen participation, yet their effectiveness is constrained by a range of internal and external challenges. Internally, many CSOs face weak institutional capacity, poor leadership, limited professional expertise, and fragmented structures, which hinder sustained advocacy, policy influence, and service delivery. Externally, restrictive legislation, shrinking civic space, politicisation, limited access to decision-making processes, and overreliance on external funding undermine their independence and credibility, reducing their ability to act as effective watchdogs, facilitators of citizen participation, and drivers of policy innovation. Against this backdrop, this study seeks to examine the roles of CSOs in strengthening democratic governance in Nigeria, with three key objectives: to assess how CSOs promote transparency, accountability, and citizen engagement; to identify the challenges and constraints that limit their effectiveness; and to explore strategies through which CSOs can enhance their capacity, legitimacy, and overall impact in consolidating democracy and advancing governance reforms.

1.1 CONCEPTUAL CLARIFICATION

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs): Civil Society Organizations are defined as voluntary, non-governmental, and non-profit associations that operate within the public realm to pursue shared interests, norms, and societal goals. Although they function independently of both the state and the market, CSOs often interact with these spheres through advocacy initiatives and service provision. Examples include non-governmental organizations, labour unions, professional bodies, religious groups, and grassroots community associations. In democratic contexts, CSOs are widely recognized for their role in citizen mobilization, interest articulation, civic education, and the promotion of accountability within state institutions (Al Mandalawi, 2024; Ishida, 2022).

Democratic Governance: Democratic governance refers to a mode of governance in which political authority is exercised in line with democratic norms such as inclusiveness, transparency, accountability, responsiveness, adherence to the rule of law, and the protection of fundamental rights. It goes beyond the conduct of periodic elections to encompass participatory decision-making processes and the effective functioning of institutions. Central to democratic governance is the involvement of citizens and civil society actors in policy formulation and oversight, ensuring that governance outcomes align with public interests (Okibe & Onyekachi, 2020).

Participatory Democracy: Participatory democracy is a democratic framework that stresses sustained and meaningful citizen involvement in governance beyond electoral participation. The model holds that democratic systems are strengthened when individuals take part in decision-making processes at multiple levels through dialogue, collective action, and civic engagement. (Bussa et al., 2022) argues that participation enhances citizens' political awareness and democratic capacity. Participatory

practices promote responsive governance by narrowing the gap between the governed and governing institutions. CSOs function as key institutional vehicles through which participatory democracy is advanced.

Accountability: Accountability denotes the responsibility of public office holders and institutions to provide explanations for their actions and decisions, justify the use of public resources, and accept consequences where misconduct occurs. It encompasses formal and informal mechanisms that enable citizens and oversight bodies to evaluate government performance and enforce sanctions when necessary. As a fundamental element of democratic governance, accountability curbs the misuse of power and strengthens transparency and responsiveness. CSOs enhance accountability through activities such as budget monitoring, policy evaluation, advocacy, and public sensitization (Gaffur & Shaw, 2021).

1.2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: PARTICIPATORY DEMOCRACY THEORY

Participatory Democracy Theory advocates for broad and continuous citizen involvement in governance processes rather than limiting participation to electoral activities alone. The theory argues that democratic systems are strengthened when citizens have opportunities to influence public decisions through collective organization, civic engagement, and sustained interaction with state institutions (Asenbaum et al., 2025). It departs from elitist and minimalist models of democracy by emphasizing inclusive participation and the centrality of civil society in governance.

According to Asenbaum et al. (2025), Participatory Democracy Theory promotes enhanced inclusion, citizen empowerment, improved legitimacy of decisions, better policy outcomes through local knowledge, and increased civic awareness and engagement. However, the theory also has limitations, as participatory processes can be time-consuming, costly, and difficult to implement on a large scale. Participation may be dominated by educated or vocal groups, potentially reinforcing existing inequalities, while limited technical expertise among participants can affect decision quality. In addition, participation fatigue may reduce long-term citizen involvement (Asenbaum et al., 2025). In the Nigerian context, the theory provides a useful framework for understanding the role of civil society organisations (CSOs) in democratic governance. Through civic education, community mobilisation, election monitoring, and policy advocacy, CSOs strengthen citizen participation, accountability, and government responsiveness. At the same time, the theory highlights how state repression and restrictive regulations can limit civic participation and weaken democratic governance.

1.3 EMPIRICAL REVIEW

A number of empirical studies have been undergone in relation to civil society organisations and their influence, role, and/or impact on democratic governance in Nigeria. For instance, Chukwudi and Ojo (2023) examined the role of civil society organizations in sustaining democratization in Nigeria and discovered that, despite their potential, CSOs are not yet widely recognised as a legitimate or influential force within the country's democratic landscape. The study also highlighted the absence of a robust institutional framework that could facilitate stronger collaboration and coordination between government agencies and CSOs. Nevertheless, the researchers emphasised that civil society organisations play critical roles across multiple sectors, including advocacy, civic education, and accountability monitoring, and argued that their active engagement is essential for the long-term consolidation and sustainability of democratic governance in Nigeria.

Similarly, Bayero (2026) examined the impact of civil society organizations (CSOs) on the promotion of democratic governance in Kano State, Nigeria. The study aimed to evaluate how various types of CSOs contribute to the strengthening of democratic processes within the state. Using a probability sampling method, specifically simple random sampling, the researcher selected 227 respondents from a total population of 1,028. Both descriptive and inferential statistics were employed to analyse the data. The multiple regression results indicated that social and professional groups had a positive and statistically significant effect (coefficient = 0.097) on democratic governance, suggesting that greater

participation in these groups could enhance democratic practices by approximately 97%. Nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) also demonstrated a positive and significant effect (coefficient = 0.210), implying that increased NGO activities could improve democratic governance by 21%. Community-based organizations (CBOs) showed a positive but statistically insignificant effect (coefficient = 0.020), indicating a potential 19% contribution to democratic governance. Based on these findings, Bayero recommended that CSOs intensify their efforts in monitoring elections to ensure free and fair processes, thereby promoting more robust democratic governance in Nigeria.

Moreover, coming down to another regional study conducted in selected rural communities in Akwa Ibom in relation to CSOs and democratic governance in Nigeria, Ataide, Nwogbo, and Mende (2024) underwent a study titled “Examining the Impact of Community-Based Organizations on Public Service Delivery in Selected Rural Communities of Akwa Ibom, Nigeria,” highlighting the critical need for deliberate capacity-building initiatives and the strategic mobilisation of resources, achieved through collaborative partnerships between the public and private sectors. Such measures are essential to strengthen the effectiveness of community-based organizations, enabling them to deliver services that are not only equitable but also sustainable, particularly in underserved rural communities where access to public services is often limited.

Further studies include those conducted by Bemgba et al. (2025), who highlighted the critical need to strengthen the capacity and effectiveness of civil society organizations (CSOs) in Nigeria through targeted interventions and collaborative efforts. While CSOs have made significant contributions to peace, security, and justice, their impact is often constrained by regulatory restrictions, limited resources, strained relations with government, public mistrust, conflicts, misinformation, and weaknesses within the justice system. To enhance their effectiveness, the study recommends policy reforms that foster a supportive environment, alongside initiatives to build capacity, promote stronger partnerships, improve accountability, raise public awareness, engage with security agencies, and diversify funding sources to ensure sustainability. Complementing this, Aluko (2023) emphasised that the credibility of the political process and the quality-of-service delivery by government institutions are central to the progress and stability of democratic governance in Nigeria.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a content analysis approach to examine the roles of civil society organisations in democratic governance in Nigeria, drawing exclusively on secondary data sources. The choice of this methodology allows for a systematic and objective review of existing literature, enabling an in-depth assessment of how CSOs contribute to citizen participation, accountability, and policymaking processes within the Nigerian governance context. Guided by Participatory Democracy Theory, the study explores the ways in which public dialogue, advocacy, and civic engagement facilitated by civil society influence democratic decision-making and governance outcomes.

Data were obtained from a wide range of credible sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles such as Al Mandalawi (2024), Ishida (2022), Okibe & Onyekachi (2020), Bussa et al. (2022), Gaffur & Shaw (2021), and Asenbaum et al. (2025), government publications and policy briefs such as Centre LSD (2023), Nigeria Network of NGOs (2024), Policy and Legal Advocacy Centre (2022), and Civil Society Legislative Advocacy Centre (2026), legal and institutional documents such as Atiyaye et al. (2025), the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999, particularly through Section 14, which declares that Nigeria is a state based on democracy and social justice, as well as Section 40 of the 1999 Constitution (as amended), which guarantees the right to freedom of assembly and association, and other similar authoritative online resources to the previously provided.

The selection of materials was guided by considerations of relevance, credibility, and scholarly rigour, with priority accorded to recent studies, except where foundational theories and established analytical frameworks were required to contextualise democratic governance. This methodological approach ensured that the analysis is grounded in reliable and authoritative evidence to support the study's findings.

3.0 HISTORICAL EVOLUTION OF CIVIL SOCIETY IN NIGERIA

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) in Nigeria incorporate a broad spectrum of non-state, non-market associations, including non-governmental organizations (NGOs), faith-based organizations, professional associations, trade unions, advocacy groups, and community-based organizations (Bembga et al., 2025). Their evolution is a story of resistance, adaptation, and a continuous struggle for political space, moving from pre-colonial mutual aid societies to a complex, professionalized, and digitally savvy sector that is central to Nigeria's democracy and development. This trajectory is marked by distinct phases: the pre-colonial/colonial era, the post-independence/military era, the transition to democracy, and the contemporary period. The history of civil societies in Nigeria flowed in the below pattern:

1. **Pre-Colonial and Colonial Era: Foundations of Associational Life (Pre-1500 – 1960):** Nigerian civil society originates in indigenous systems such as kinship networks, age grades, secret societies, and market associations, which provided welfare, governance, and accountability, embodying collective action and self-organisation despite not fitting Western definitions (Egwu, 2020). Colonialism disrupted these structures but also fostered modern CSOs through missionary-led “improvement unions” and ethnic associations. Groups like the Igbo State Union and Egbe Omo Oduduwa emerged to preserve identity and provide welfare in urban centres, reflecting “compensatory modernization” (Ibeanu, 2021). Trade unions and nationalist movements became central, with organisations such as the Nigerian Trade Union Congress, NCNC, and Action Group mobilising resistance and merging civic activism with nationalist struggle (Agbaje, 2019).
2. **Post-Independence and Military Era: Confrontation and Co-optation (1960–1998):** Following independence, CSOs were initially absorbed into partisan politics, but instability and the Civil War which spanned 1967–1970, highlighted their humanitarian role, as groups like JCA and ICRC filled gaps left by the state. Under military rule, CSOs faced repression yet became key actors in pro-democracy struggles: student groups (e.g., NANS) and leftist movements led protests, while professional bodies like the NBA and NUJ advanced legal and media resistance, with figures such as Gani Fawehinmi championing human rights. Obi (2022) describes this period as a “crucible” that forged a militant, rights-based civil society, marked by events such as the annulment of the June 12, 1993 election and the execution of Ken Saro-Wiwa, which intensified domestic and international pressure on military regimes.
3. **Transition to Democracy and Proliferation (1999–2015):** The return to civilian rule in 1999 led to rapid expansion of CSOs, growing from hundreds to thousands due largely to international donor funding and democracy assistance. Organisations such as CLEEN Foundation and TMG became central to election monitoring and governance advocacy, while civil society diversified into areas like anti-corruption (e.g., CLO, HEDA, Tracka) and environmental justice in the Niger Delta, building on MOSOP’s legacy. State–CSO relations combined collaboration and contestation, as some organisations became service providers while others retained watchdog roles, with the NLC continuing mass mobilisation.
4. **Contemporary Era: Digital Activism, Regulation, and New Challenges (2015–Present):** Recent years have been defined by digital, youth-led activism, particularly the #EndSARS protests in 2020, which demonstrated the power of decentralised, tech-driven mobilisation and forced both national and global responses (Eze, 2023), even as the state’s violent crackdown exposed the fragility of civic space. Government regulation has intensified, notably through CAMA 2020, which grants broad oversight powers over NGOs and is criticised as a tool to restrict civil society (Amnesty International, 2022), alongside increased use of security laws against activists. At the same time, CSOs face funding constraints and growing co-optation through state-linked organisations (Adetunji, 2024), prompting collective responses such as the #ReformCAMA Coalition and the Situation Room, which have strengthened coordinated advocacy, especially in electoral reform and monitoring.

4.0 ROLES OF CIVIL SOCIETY ORGANIZATIONS IN DEMOCRATIC GOVERNANCE

Civil society organizations (CSOs) serve as essential pillars of democratic governance, functioning as intermediaries between citizens and the state. Drawing on recent scholarship and practical initiatives from 2024-2025, this overview examines the multifaceted roles CSOs play in strengthening democratic institutions, promoting accountability, and fostering citizen participation.

1. **Agents of Democratic Accountability and Oversight:** A foundational role of CSOs in democratic governance is holding public institutions accountable. CSOs act as watchdogs that monitor government actions, expose misconduct, and demand transparency. According to Arugay and Baquisal (2024), civil society serves as an agent of democratic accountability and civilian oversight in security sector governance and reform contexts. Their research demonstrates that CSOs contribute significantly to Sustainable Development Goal 16 (SDG-16) by improving accountability, transparency, and participation mechanisms. This oversight function is particularly critical in sectors such as policing, justice delivery, and security institutions where state accountability mechanisms may be weak (Centre LSD, 2023)
2. **Facilitators of Citizen Participation and Democratic Representation:** CSOs create vital spaces for citizen engagement in political processes, particularly for marginalized communities. They serve as vehicles for participation and representation, ensuring diverse voices inform policymaking. Bolleyer (2024) theorizes that CSOs contribute to democracy through three normative dimensions: intra-organizational participation, engagement in interest representation, and societal responsiveness. Her framework suggests that CSOs' ability to cultivate member activism and maintain organizational accountability determines their democratic potential. However, she notes that professionalization and bureaucratization can shift organizational priorities away from societal values toward instrumental self-maintenance—a tension that affects democratic contributions.
3. **Architects of Policy Influence Through Diverse Strategies:** CSOs employ multiple strategies to shape public policy, ranging from conventional corporative arrangements to alternative approaches such as protests, legal action, and grassroots mobilization. A Norwegian research project led by Enjolras et al. (2025) examines how civil society organizations affect political processes through varied channels. The project distinguishes between participation in formal corporative arrangements (where CSOs are included in policymaking) and the "action channel" (protests and legal actions). The research highlights those structural changes since the 1980s—including reduced corporative channels and increased digital communication—have made policymaking processes more accessible, though privileged access for established insiders persists.
4. **Creators of Discursive Spaces and Policy Innovation:** CSOs generate spaces for new discourses on governance, development, and security, often bringing marginalized perspectives into mainstream policy conversations. Arugay and Baquisal (2024) identify CSOs as providing "a space for new discourses on security and development" in their analysis of security sector governance. This discursive function enables CSOs to challenge dominant paradigms and introduce alternative approaches to complex governance challenges.
5. **Providers of People-Centred Security and Services:** Beyond advocacy, CSOs increasingly serve as alternative providers of services, particularly in contexts where state capacity is limited or where communities face specific vulnerabilities. Arugay and Baquisal (2024) identify "an alternative provider of people-oriented security" as a third major role for civil society in security sector governance.

5.0 CIVIL SOCIETY–STATE RELATIONS IN NIGERIA: A COMPLEX PARTNERSHIP UNDER STRAIN

Civil society-state relations in Nigeria represent a dynamic and often contentious partnership, characterized by vibrant civic engagement, significant institutional tensions, and ongoing efforts to redefine the terms of engagement. As of 2026, this relationship is being shaped by new fiscal legislation, security concerns, and divergent visions of democratic governance. The Civic Freedom Monitor rates

Nigeria's civic space as "Repressed," placing it alongside countries where protests are routinely restricted and media freedom curtailed. Oladimeji and Moshood (2024), Bappah (2024), Sule et al. (2021), and Ibitoye (2023) detail the relationship between civil societies and government below:

1. **The Constitutional and Legal Framework:** The Nigerian Constitution underpins civil society operations, with Section 40 guaranteeing freedom of association and Section 39 protecting expression, enabling a diverse CSO landscape. However, these rights coexist with regulatory constraints under CAMA, which requires registration through the CAC, while granting the President authority to ban groups deemed a threat and prohibiting certain organisations. Recent reforms, particularly the Nigeria Tax Act 2025, mark a shift by focusing on CSOs' activities rather than non-profit status: although still tax-exempt, they must file annual returns, with penalties for non-compliance (N100,000 initially and N50,000 monthly thereafter).
2. **Strategic Engagement and Coalition Building:** Nigerian CSOs demonstrate strong capacity for strategic advocacy and coalition-building. In February 2026, CISLAC, in partnership with the Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung, trained over 60 CSOs and journalists on the Nigeria Tax Act 2025, focusing on accountability and public education, with Auwal Rafsanjani noting that taxation cannot solve systemic corruption alone. Coordinated action was also evident when the Coalition of Niger Delta Civil Society Organizations (CNDCSOs) organised a planned protest against the tax law but suspended it after "constructive engagements" with authorities, with leaders emphasising dialogue as the most effective path while maintaining their civic stance.
3. **Monitoring and Accountability Functions:** CSOs continue to play key oversight roles. In March 2026, Policy Alert's Host Communities Development Index assessed HCDTs in Rivers State, identifying governance gaps, weak community engagement, and gender under-representation, with Tijah Bolton-Akpan stressing that effectiveness depends on governance quality and inclusive participation. Likewise, the National Vanguard for Accountable and Transparent Democracy (NVATD) protested at the National Assembly over the alleged under-release of funds for capital projects, claiming only N36 millions of over N218 billion allocated to the health ministry had been disbursed.
4. **Electoral Advocacy and Legislative Influence:** Civil society has also influenced legislative outcomes through sustained advocacy. In early 2026, CSOs campaigned for amendments to mandate electronic transmission of election results; despite initial rejection and a violent crackdown on protesters, President Bola Tinubu signed the bill into law in February 2026 and apologised for the excessive force used. This episode highlights both the persistence of civic advocacy and the often-contentious nature of state-civil society relations.

6.0 CHALLENGES FACING CIVIL SOCIETY ORGANIZATION

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) play a vital role in democratic governance, but their effectiveness is often constrained by numerous structural, political, and institutional challenges.

1. **Government Repression and Shrinking Civic Space:** One of the most significant challenges facing CSOs is state repression and the shrinking of civic space, especially in emerging democracies. Governments often Restrict CSO activities through stringent laws and regulations, Harass, intimidate, or criminalize activists and limit freedom of association and expression Recent studies show that many governments use security laws and counter-terrorism measures to suppress civil society activities, thereby reducing their operational space. This repression weakens CSOs' ability to function as watchdogs and undermines democratic accountability (Nigeria Network of NGOs, 2024).
2. **Financial Constraints and Donor Dependence:** CSOs often face inadequate funding and overdependence on external donors, which affects their sustainability and autonomy. Key issues include Limited domestic funding sources, Heavy reliance on foreign donors and Conditional funding that may influence priorities. This financial instability restricts long-term planning, weakens grassroots outreach and raises concerns about external influence and legitimacy In many developing countries, CSOs struggle to maintain independence due to

resource scarcity and donor-driven agendas, which can undermine their credibility in democratic processes (Policy and Legal Advocacy Centre, 2022; Civil Society Legislative Advocacy Centre, 2026).

3. **Internal Weaknesses and Organizational Capacity Issues:** Many CSOs face internal structural and institutional challenges, including: Poor leadership and governance structures, Lack of professional expertise, Weak institutional capacity and internal conflicts and fragmentation. Studies on Nigeria, such as Bemgba et al. (2025) and Umar (2019) show that internal crises and poor coordination among CSOs reduce their effectiveness in democratic engagement. And these weaknesses limit their ability to: Influence policy, Sustain advocacy campaigns and Build strong coalitions.
4. **Politicization and Loss of Credibility:** Another major challenge is the politicization of civil society organizations, where they become aligned with political parties or elites. This manifests in CSOs being co-opted by political actors, bias in advocacy and public engagement, loss of neutrality and public trust and when CSOs lose credibility, Citizens become less willing to engage, their advocacy efforts lose legitimacy and their role in democratic consolidation is weakened (Musa et al., 2025).
5. **Limited Access to Policy-Making and Weak Institutional Influence:** Despite their importance, many CSOs face limited access to decision-making processes. This includes Exclusion from policy formulation, weak institutional frameworks for participation, government resistance to civil society input (Popoola & Alao, 2017).

7.0 DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) play a critical role in governance, accountability, and democratic participation by acting as intermediaries between citizens and the state. They enhance transparency by monitoring public institutions, mobilising public opinion, and advocating for responsiveness to citizen needs. A 2024 study conducted by Aluko (2023) and Atiyaye et al. (2025) highlights how CSOs influence the legitimisation of democratic governance through engagement with the National Assembly and participation in policy debates, as well as impact the implementation of rule of law and good governance in the country.

Beyond governance, CSOs are central to advocacy, policy influence, and social change. They shape public policy, promote social justice, and drive reforms across sectors. In global frameworks such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and climate action, CSOs contribute to agenda-setting, advocacy, data tracking, and accountability reporting, ensuring that international commitments are implemented effectively at the local level (Bembga et al., 2025)

CSOs are also vital to community development and service delivery, particularly where state capacity is limited. Empirical evidence shows that they support community welfare through capacity building, training, infrastructure support, and facilitating communication between citizens and government, while advocating for marginalised groups (Bembga et al., 2025; Eze, 2024). Their work empowers communities to identify challenges, participate in development planning, and pursue collective solutions, thereby strengthening social capital, local agency, and sustainable development outcomes.

In addition, CSOs contribute significantly to monitoring and implementing global goals, particularly the 2030 SDGs, by enhancing transparency and accountability in development reporting processes. Their involvement ensures that progress is tracked and that governments remain accountable to both domestic and international commitments. CSOs also perform specialised roles in addressing social challenges. In Nigeria, research indicates that they contribute to controlling illicit drug proliferation through public education, community mobilisation, and watchdog functions, demonstrating their adaptability in tackling emerging societal issues.

However, despite their contributions, CSOs face internal governance and accountability challenges. Studies highlight issues such as financial irregularities, mission drift, erosion of public trust, and misuse of power, all of which can undermine their effectiveness. Strengthening internal governance structures

and ethical standards is therefore essential to sustain their long-term impact.

7.1 IMPLICATIONS FOR DEMOCRATIC GOVERNANCE AND REFORMS

The quality of civil society–state relations profoundly shape democratic outcomes in Nigeria. Cooperative engagement enhances governance reforms, improves service delivery, and lends legitimacy to policy measures. Confrontational interactions promote transparency, accountability, and citizen participation. In contrast, co-optation erodes civil society independence, diminishes public trust, and weakens the checks and balances necessary for democratic consolidation (Bayero, 2026; Skiru, 2024). Consequently, the balance between cooperation, contestation, and independence determines the effectiveness of CSOs in advancing democratic governance and institutional reform in Nigeria.

7.2 CONCLUSION

This study highlighted the crucial role that Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) play in advancing and sustaining democratic governance in Nigeria. Drawing on secondary sources and framed by Participatory Democracy Theory, the findings reveal that CSOs are central to promoting citizen engagement, strengthening accountability, monitoring electoral processes, advocating policy reforms, and protecting human rights. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of CSOs in Nigeria is constrained by persistent challenges, including inadequate funding and donor dependence, restrictive legal frameworks, political co-optation, internal organizational weaknesses, and occasional government. These limitations hinder their capacity to operate independently, sustain programs, and fully carry out their advocacy and oversight roles, thereby reducing their potential impact on democratic consolidation.

While CSOs remain vital actors in Nigeria’s democratic system, there is a pressing need to strengthen their capacity, autonomy, and institutional support. Enhancing legal protections, expanding access to resources, safeguarding civic space, and building public trust are essential measures to ensure that civil society can fully contribute to participatory governance and democratic development. The study affirms that a robust and independent civil society is a foundational element for deepening democracy and achieving effective governance in Nigeria.

7.3 RECOMMENDATIONS

The findings of this study affirm that Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) are central to reinforcing democratic governance in Nigeria by enhancing citizen participation, ensuring accountability, promoting transparency, and influencing policy decisions. Nevertheless, ongoing challenges, including inadequate funding, restrictive regulations, political interference, and limited organizational capacity, hamper their effectiveness. To bolster the impact of CSOs and strengthen democratic governance, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. **Strengthening Legal and Institutional Frameworks:** The Nigerian government should establish and enforce laws and policies that safeguard the autonomy, independence, and operational space of CSOs. Regulatory mechanisms should facilitate easier registration, guarantee freedom of association, and prevent undue harassment or restrictions. Robust legal protections will empower CSOs to operate without fear of political intimidation, enhancing their ability to act as effective monitors of government performance.
2. **Enhancing Capacity Building and Financial Sustainability:** CSOs require structured capacity-building initiatives to improve internal governance, strategic planning, research, advocacy, and service delivery. Diversifying funding sources and reducing reliance on international donors is essential to strengthen sustainability and independence. Improved technical expertise and organizational management will enable CSOs to implement long-term programs effectively and maintain credibility with both citizens and governmental institutions.
3. **Promoting Constructive State–Civil Society Engagement:** Positive collaboration between the state and civil society can improve governance outcomes. Government institutions should

actively include CSOs in policy formulation, program implementation, and public service monitoring. Such engagement could take the form of joint initiatives in election observation, civic education, anti-corruption campaigns, and social development projects. Constructive partnerships ensure that CSOs contribute to governance reforms while maintaining independence from political manipulation.

4. **Encouraging Citizen Ownership of Civil Society Initiatives:** CSOs should prioritize grassroots participation and foster community ownership of their programs. By involving citizens in planning, decision-making, and monitoring activities, CSOs can enhance accountability, strengthen democratic practices, and ensure interventions are responsive to local needs. Encouraging citizen engagement reinforces the principles of participatory democracy and consolidates the legitimacy of both civil society and governance processes.

References

- Adetunji, O. (2024). The co-optation of civil society in Nigeria's Fourth Republic. *Review of African Political Economy*, 51(180). Advance online publication.
- Agbaje, A. (2019). Civil society and democratization in Nigeria: A retrospective analysis. *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 37(2–3), 145–162.
- Al Mandalawi, A. A. A. K. H. (2024, April). Civil society organizations, their types, and their impact on policymaking. *Al-Iraqa Foundation for Culture and Development*, 4. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383036311_Civil_society_organizations_their_types_and_their_impact_on_policymaking
- Aluko, B. A. (2023). Civil society and the legitimization of democratic governance in Nigeria. *Arts and Social Science Research*, 13(2), 199.
- Amnesty International. (2022). *Nigeria: Civic space under siege – The impact of repressive laws and practices on civil society*. Amnesty International Publications.
- Arugay, A., & Baquisal, J. K. A. (2024). *Accountability, discourse, and service provision: Civil society's roles in security sector governance and reform (SSG/R) and sustainable development goal-16 (SDG-16)*. Ubiquity Press.
- Asenbaum, H., Bussu, S., Escobar, O., & Harris, C. (2025). Participatory democracy and participatory research. *European Political Science Review*, 17(3), 359–377. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1755773925000074>
- Ataide, H. O., Nwogbo, D. C., & Mende, S. (2024). Examining the impact of community-based organizations on public service delivery in selected rural communities of Akwa Ibom, Nigeria. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 64(1/3), 22.
- Atiyaye, D. M., Cheri, L., Kaku, M., Kele, A., & Goni, U. M. (2025). Rule of law and good governance in Nigeria: Challenges and cures. *Kashere Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 3(4), 155.
- Bappah, M. (2024). *The Nigerian Civic Space: The Journey so far*. 0ACSI. <https://wacsi.org/the-nigerian-civic-space-the-journey-so-far/>
- Bayero, B. I. (2026). Impact of civil society organizations on the promotion of democratic governance in Nigeria. *West African Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, 4(1), 12 – 22. <https://doi.org/10.46654/wajir41-1788>
- Bembga, P. T., Nwagu, S. C., Osigwe, A., & Thomas, E. S. (2025). The role of civil society organizations in promoting peace, security, and justice delivery in Nigeria. *Journal of Political Discourse*, 3(3), 276.
- Bolleyer, N. (2024). *Civil society's democratic potential: Organizational trade-offs and democratic contributions*. Oxford University Press.
- Bussu, S., Bua, A., Dean, R., & Smith, G. (2022). Introduction: Embedding participatory governance. *Critical Policy Studies*, 16(2), 133–145. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19460171.2022.2053179>
- Centre for Leadership, Strategy and Development (CentreLSD). (2023). *Policy brief on protecting civic space in Nigeria*. <https://centrelsd.org/download/report/policy-brief-on-protecting-civic-space-in-nigeria>
- Chukwudi, C. E., & Ojo, S. S. (2023). Civil society organizations and sustenance of democratization in Nigeria. *African Journal of Politics and Administrative Studies*, 16(1), 18–33.

- <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajpas.v16i1.2>
- Egwu, S. (2020). *Civil society and democratic governance in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects*. Centre for Democracy and Development (CDD).
- Enjolras, B., Hansen, V. W., Sivesind, K. H., Skiple, J. K., Stoltenberg, D., & Strømsnes, K. (2025). *The democratic role of civil society organisations: Different strategies and framework conditions for political influence*. Institute for Social Research.
- Eze, C. (2023). Digital activism and the Nigerian state: The #EndSARS movement and the future of protest. *African Affairs*, 122(487), 233–256.
- Eze, E. C. (2024). Examining the impact of community-based organizations on public service delivery in selected rural communities of Akwa Ibom, Nigeria. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 64(1/3), 22.
- Gaffur, M. A. S. B. A., & Shaw, J. (2021). Accountability in public administration. *Journal of Administrative Science*, 5(6).
- Ibeanu, O. (2021). *The state and civil society in Nigeria: From colonialism to the digital age*. University Press.
- Ibitoye, M. O. (2023). Civil society and democratic governance in Nigeria. *International Journal of Advanced Legal Studies and Governance*, 3 (1, 2 & 3). <https://icidr.org.ng/index.php/Ijalsg/article/view/345>
- Ishida, Y. (2022). Civil society organizations. In A. Farazmand (Ed.), *Global encyclopedia of public administration, public policy, and governance*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66252-3_153
- Minko, A. E. (2023). The role of civil society in promoting good governance in Africa: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 7(5), 952–958. <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2023.70575>
- Musa, M. I., Hamza, K., & Isyaku, M. (2025). Overcoming challenges faced by civil society organizations in enhancing political participation: Strategic solutions. *International Journal of Social Science Research and Anthropology*, 7(6). <https://doi.org/10.70382/tjssra.v07i6.029>
- Nigeria Network of NGOs. (2024). *Civil society regulatory frameworks in Nigeria*. <https://nnngo.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/Civil-Society-Regulatory-Frameworks-in-Nigeria-Final.pdf>
- Obi, C. (2022). Militarism and civil society in Nigeria: The legacies of repression and resistance. In *The Palgrave handbook of democracy, governance and human rights in Africa*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Okibe, H. B., & Onyekachi, E. B. (2020). Corruption and democratic governance in Nigeria: An incompatible marriage for national development. *African Journal of Politics and Administrative Studies*, 13(1), 69.
- Oladimeji, T., & Moshood, S. (2024). Civil society groups, military regimes, political parties and transition to the Fourth Republic in Nigeria (1993–1999). *Zamfara Journal of Politics and Development*, 5(1). <https://zjpd.com.ng/index.php/zjpd/article/view/258>
- Policy and Legal Advocacy Centre. (2022). *CSOs and the Nigerian civic space in 2021*. <https://placng.org/i/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/CSOs-and-the-Nigerian-Civic-Space-IN-2021.pdf>
- Popoola, M. A., & Alao, D. O. (2017). Civil society organisations and public policy influence: The Nigerian experience in the Fourth Republic. *KIU Journal of Social Sciences*, 3(2), 15–24.
- Skiru, M. O. (2024). *The roles of civil society organizations in the Nigerian democratization process* (Bachelor's thesis, University of Lagos, Distance Learning Institute).
- Sule, B., Sambo, U., Ahmed, A., & Yusuf, M. (2021). Nigerian Civil Society Situation Room (NCSSR) and electioneering process in Nigeria (2015–2019). *SAGE Open*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211006708>
- Umar, M. K. (2019). An assessment of the roles and challenges of civil society organizations on the process of democratic consolidation of Nigeria's Fourth Republic. *Journal of Business and Social Review in Emerging Economies*, 5(1), 125–134. <https://doi.org/10.26710/jbsee.v5i1.552>
- Yusuf, L. A. (2024). Role of CSOs in influencing legislation in Nigeria (Thesis).